arXiv:cond-mat/9309041v1 24 Sep 1993

Theory of an elastic anomaly in the heavy fermion system CeTe

Kikuo Harigaya* and G. A. Gehring

Department of Physics, University of Sheffield,

Sheffield S3 7RH, United Kingdom

(Received)

ABSTRACT

The elastic anomaly observed in the coherent Kondo state of Ce heavy fermion compounds is analyzed by using the Anderson lattice model simulating the energy level scheme of CeTe. The Γ_7 doublets and Γ_8 quartets of the 4f states are considered in the model. We solve the mean field equations to derive the temperature dependences of elastic constants, using the random phase approximation like expression for the interaction between the elastic strain and the crystalline field splitting. We compare the calculation with the $(c_{11} - c_{12})/2$ and c_{44} constants of CeTe. The presence of the downward dip and the observed overall temperature variations of the two constants are

well described by the present theory. The origin of the dip is the coupling between the elastic strain and the splitting of the Γ_8 quartets.

PACS numbers: 71.28.+d, 71.70.Ch, 75.30.Mb

I. INTRODUCTION

Heavy fermion compounds show elastic anomalies in low temperatures. They are related with crystalline field structures, magnetic phase transitions, and so on. The main contribution coming from the crystalline fields is the peak or dip structures in the temperature dependences of the elastic constants. Most of the crystalline field splittings are larger than the Kondo temperature and the anomalies occur in higher temperatures than those of the coherent Kondo state. For example, the elastic constant c_{33} of $CeCu_6$ [1] has a dip at about 10K. This is due to the splitting larger than the Kondo temperature 4K. However, a few compounds have the splittings which are comparable to or smaller than the Kondo temperature. The anomalies occur in the coherent Kondo state. The constants $(c_{11} - c_{12})/2$ and c_{44} of CeTe [2] show the apparent dip at about 15K. The $j = 5/2$ levels of Ce ions split into the Γ_7 Kramers doublet and the Γ_8 quartet states. The Γ_7 states are the ground states. There is the splitting 30K between Γ_7 and Γ_8 states. This is the origin of the dip. Similarly, the c_{44} constant of the alloy $Ce_{0.5}La_{0.5}B_6$ shows the dip at about 0.2K, and this temperature is lower than the Kondo temperature of this alloy [3].

The main purpose of the present paper is to develop a theoretical description of the elastic anomaly by using a microscopic model. We use the

Anderson lattice model simulating the energy level scheme of CeTe. The Γ_7 doublets and Γ_8 quartets are considered. We solve the mean field equations and assume that a strain field couples linearly with the splitting of the Γ_8 states. We calculate the linear susceptibility with respect to the strain field. Then, we derive the temperature dependence of the elastic constant, using the random phase approximation (RPA) like expression [4] which includes the elastic susceptibility. We compare the calculation with the $(c_{11} - c_{12})/2$ and c_{44} constants of CeTe. The observed overall temperature variations of the two constants are well described by the present theory including the coupling between the elastic strain and the splitting of the Γ_8 quartets.

We explain the model in Section II. We report the solution in Section III and the elastic properties in Section IV. We summarize the paper and give discussion in Section V.

II. FORMALISM

We formalize the infinite-U Anderson lattice model simulating the crystalline field structures of CeTe [2]. We use the slave boson method. The model has the following form:

$$H = \sum_i [E_{\rm f} \sum_{l=1,2} + (E_{\rm f} + \Delta - \delta) \sum_{l=3,4} + (E_{\rm f} + \Delta + \delta) \sum_{l=5,6}] f^\dagger_{i,l} f_{i,l} \qquad (1)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ \sum_{\mathbf{k},l=1-6} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k},l} c_{\mathbf{k},l} \\
&+ V \sum_{i,l=1-6} (f^{\dagger}_{i,l} c_{i,l} b_i + b^{\dagger}_i c^{\dagger}_{i,l} f_{i,l}) \\
&+ \sum_i \lambda_i (\sum_{l=1-6} f^{\dagger}_{i,l} f_{i,l} + b^{\dagger}_i b_i - 1),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f_{i,l}$ is an annihilation operator of the f-electron of the l-th orbital at the i-th site, $c_{\mathbf{k},l}$ is an operator of the conduction electron with the wave number $\mathbf{k}$, and b_i is an operator of the slave boson which indicates the unoccupied state at the f-orbital. The atomic energy of the first and second orbitals of f-electrons is $E_{\rm f}$; that of the third and fourth orbitals is $E_{\rm f} + \Delta - \delta$; and that of the third and fourth orbitals is $E_{\rm f} + \Delta + \delta$. The two crystalline field splitting parameters Δ and δ are considered in the model. The first one Δ is the splitting between the ground state Γ_7 doublet and the excited Γ_8 quartet states of f-electrons. The second splitting δ is due to the lattice distortion from the cubic symmetry. It is assumed that δ couples with a strain field ε linearly: $\delta = \eta\varepsilon$, where η is the coupling constant. For the conduction electrons, the same quantum number is assumed as that of the f-electrons. We use the square density of states, $\rho \equiv 1/ND$, which extends over the energy region, $-D < \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} < (N-1)D$, where $N = 6$ is the total number of quantum states. This assumes that the combination $N\rho V^2$, which appears in the $1/N$ expansion, is independent of N. Therefore, the mean field theory becomes exact as $N \to \infty$. The third term in the hamiltonian is the mixing

interaction between f- and c-electrons, V being the interaction strength. The last term limits the maximum number of f-electrons per site up to unity. This could be realized by the constraint $\sum_{l=1-6} f^{\dagger}_{i,l} f_{i,l} + b^{\dagger}_i b_i = 1$ with the Langrange multiplier field λ_i.

This model is treated within the mean field approximation: $\langle b_i \rangle = r$, $\langle b^{\dagger}_i b_i \rangle = r^2$, and $\lambda_i = \lambda$ (a site independent real value). These mean field parameters are determined by solving the following coupled equations [4]:

(1) the constraint condition,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{3D} \int dE \frac{\tilde{V}^2}{(\tilde{E}_{\rm f} + \Delta + \delta - E)^2} f(E-\mu) \\ + \ & \frac{1}{3D} \int dE \frac{\tilde{V}^2}{(\tilde{E}_{\rm f} + \Delta - \delta - E)^2} f(E-\mu) \\ + \ & \frac{1}{3D} \int dE \frac{\tilde{V}^2}{(\tilde{E}_{\rm f} - E)^2} f(E-\mu) + r^2 = 1, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

(2) the self-consistency condition for r,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{3D} \int dE \frac{V^2}{E - \tilde{E}_{\rm f} - \Delta - \delta} f(E-\mu) \\ + \ & \frac{1}{3D} \int dE \frac{V^2}{E - \tilde{E}_{\rm f} - \Delta + \delta} f(E-\mu) \\ + \ & \frac{1}{3D} \int dE \frac{V^2}{E - \tilde{E}_{\rm f}} f(E-\mu) + \lambda = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

and (3) the conservation condition of electron number $n_{\rm el}$,

$$\frac{1}{3D} \int dE [1 + \frac{\tilde{V}^2}{(\tilde{E}_{\rm f} + \Delta + \delta - E)^2}] f(E-\mu) \tag{4}$$

$$+ \quad \frac{1}{3D}\int dE[1+\frac{\tilde{V}^2}{(\tilde{E}_{\rm f}+\Delta-\delta-E)^2}]f(E-\mu)$$
$$+ \quad \frac{1}{3D}\int dE[1+\frac{\tilde{V}^2}{(\tilde{E}_{\rm f}-E)^2}]f(E-\mu)=n_{\rm el},$$

where $f(x)=1/[\exp(x/T)+1]$ is the Fermi distribution function, $\tilde{E}_{\rm f}=E_{\rm f}+\lambda$ is the effective f-level, and $\tilde{V}=rV$ is the effective mixing interaction. The integrations are performed over all the energy region of the bands. The three equations are solved numerically for the three variables, r, λ, and the Fermi level μ. In addition, the values at $T=0$ can be obtained analytically.

III. SOLUTION

Equations (2), (3), and (4) are solved numerically for the parameters $D=5\times10^4$K, $V=7500$K, $E_{\rm f}=-10^4$K, and $n_{\rm el}=1.9$ as the typical values. We take the splitting parameter $\Delta=30$K. The close value for Δ has been revealed in the experiment [5]. We consider the limit $\delta\to0$ because the splitting coming from the strain is so small and negligible. As we will see later, Δ is smaller than the Kondo temperature $T_{\rm K}=\tilde{E}_{\rm f}-\mu$ at $T=0$K.

Figure 1 shows the temperature dependences of parameters. Figures 1 (a), (b), and (c) show the variations of $\tilde{E}_{\rm f}$, $T_{\rm K}$, and the number of f-electrons per site $n_{\rm f}$, respectively. As the temperature increases, the order parameter r decreases, so that $n_{\rm f}=1-r^2$ increases. The quantity r does not vanish

even though the temperature is much higher than $T_{\rm K}$ (about 40K) at $T = 0$. This is the effect of the change of the Fermi level μ to keep the total electron number constant. This effect has been reported previously [6,7]. According to the increase of $n_{\rm f}$, $\tilde{E}_{\rm f}$ decreases, which means the reduced itinerancy of f-electrons owing to the increase of $n_{\rm f}$. At low temperatures, the excitation energy is limited by the smaller distance from the Fermi level to the gap of the bands $l = 1, 2$. This results in the increased value of $n_{\rm f}$ when the crystalline field is switched on. Also, $\tilde{E}_{\rm f}$ decreases and $T_{\rm K}$ increases, due to the crystalline field. The similar dependence on Δ has been reported in the previous paper [4]. The Kondo temperature $T_{\rm K}(\Delta)$ (at $T = 0$) as a function of Δ satisfies the equation, $[T_{\rm K}(\Delta) + \Delta]^2 T_{\rm K}^2(\Delta) = T_{\rm K}^3(0)$, where $T_{\rm K}(0) = D \exp[-D(\mu - E_{\rm f})/V^2]$ is the Kondo temperature for $\Delta = 0$. Starting from this analytic expression, we could verify the low temperature variations of parameters by using the expansion with respect to $\Delta/T_{\rm K}(0)$ assuming the small Δ.

IV. ELASTIC ANOMALY IN LOW TEMPERATURES

We shall discuss the change of elastic properties of heavy fermions due to the crystalline field splitting in the low temperature below $T_{\rm K}$. We shall calculate an elastic constant c by the RPA-like formula [4] analogous to the plasmon excitation theory. The constant c is related with the linear susceptibility with respect to δ, as shown below:

$$c = \frac{c_0}{1 + g\chi_\delta}, \tag{5}$$

where c_0 is the elastic constant of the system where there is not interactions between the lattice and the electronic system, and g is the coupling constant. There is a relation $g = c_0\eta^2$, so g is positive. The analogous formula was used before [8] but in the linear response theory. We assume that c_0 is independent of the temperature. The value of g is unknown experimentally as well as theoretically. In order to discuss the crystalline field effect on c, we treat the factor g as a kind of fitting parameters. The quantity χ_δ is calculated as the second order derivative of the mean field free energy:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\delta &= -\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \delta^2} \\ &= \frac{2}{3D}\int dE \frac{\tilde{V}^2}{(\tilde{E}_{\rm f} + \Delta - \delta - E)^3} f(E-\mu) \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

$$+ \quad \frac{2}{3D}\int dE \frac{\tilde{V}^2}{(\tilde{E}_{\rm f}+\Delta+\delta-E)^3} f(E-\mu),$$

where the δ dependences of the band edges are neglected in the derivatives because their effect is exponentially small. In the actual calculation, we take the limit $\delta \to 0$, because our problem is the elastic property at the equilibrium of the cubic lattice where there is not the splitting δ.

Figure 2 displays the temperature dependence of $\chi_0 \equiv \lim_{\delta\to 0}\chi_\delta$. Figure 2(a) shows the variation over wide temperatures, and Fig. 2(b) shows the detailed structure in low temperatures. There is a peak around $T = 15$K. This is owing to the large degree of freedom for electrons and the crystalline field Δ. The position of the peak would depend on parameters, but here the position agrees with that of the $(c_{11}-c_{12})/2$ constant of CeTe [2]. The appearance of the peak has been discussed in the previous paper [4]. The susceptibility in high temperatures is nearly inversely proportional to T, showing the Pauli paramagnetic behavior. The value of χ_0 at $T = 0$ is analytically expressed as,

$$\chi_0 = \frac{2T_{\rm K}(\Delta)}{[T_{\rm K}(\Delta)+\Delta][3T_{\rm K}(\Delta)+\Delta]}, \tag{7}$$

by using the Kondo temperature.

Now, we compare the calculated c/c_0 with the experiments. We plot the temperature dependences c/c_0, which are obtained from the experimental data of CeTe [2]. They are shown by the dots. Figures 3 (a) and (b)

are for the $(c_{11} - c_{12})/2$ and c_{44} constants. The experimental c_0 depends on the temperature. We used the linear dependence used in Ref. 2 for the $(c_{11} - c_{12})/2$ mode. The quantity c_0 becomes softer as the temperature increases. However, we cannot use the experimental c_0 for the c_{44} mode [2] in order to compare with the theory. We rather use the increasing linear function: $c_0(T) = 0.696 + 9.89 \times 10^{-5} T(10^{11}\mathrm{erg/cm^3})$. It seems strange that the constant becomes larger as T rises. But, this does not mean that the crystal becomes harder for increasing T. Several lattice constants can become harder as T increases. In fact, c_{44} becomes harder, and c_{11} and $(c_{11} - c_{12})/2$ become softer, in CeTe. In the two figures, the calculated c/c_0 is shown by the curve for $g = 6.9$K. The elastic constant decreases from much higher to lower temperatures than T_{K}. The decrease is almost proportional to $1/T$. The agreement is good enough. The decrease is the effect of the valence fluctuation. There is a downward dip around 15-20 K and the position agrees with the experiments, too. The overall temperature dependences are well explained by the same g for the two constants. Of course, both c_0 and η are different for the two constants. Thus, this result should be regarded as a coincidence.

V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

We have solved the mean field equations of the Anderson lattice model with the crystalline field splitting between Γ_7 doublets and Γ_8 quartets. It has been assumed that the strain field couples linearly with the splitting of the Γ_8 states. We have calculated the linear susceptibility with respect to the strain field. Next, we have derived the temperature dependence of the elastic constant, using the RPA-like expression which includes the elastic susceptibility. We have compared the calculation with the $(c_{11} - c_{12})/2$ and c_{44} constants of CeTe. The observed overall temperature variations of the two constants are well described by the present theory including the coupling between the elastic strain and the splitting of the Γ_8 quartets. We believe that the presence of the peak in χ_0 is not an artifact of the mean field theory. In fact, the magnetic susceptibility of the exact solution of the single site system has a peak when the number of the degree of the freedom is larger than two.

In the actual compound, V is anisotropic, i.e., it has an angle dependence in the momentum space: $V = V(\theta, \phi)$. The mean field equations, Eqs. (2), (3), and (4), change only in one point: the angle average,

$$\int \frac{d\theta d\phi}{4\pi} V^2(\theta, \phi), \tag{8}$$

appears in the equations. This angle average can be absorbed in the present

formalism by regarding the angle averaged $\sqrt{V^2}$ as the isotropic V of Eq. (1). Thus, the parameter value V used in this paper should be interpreted as an averaged one.

In Ref. 3, the alloy system $Ce_{0.5}La_{0.5}B_6$ has the downward dip in the temperature dependence of the c_{44} constant. The variation is very similar to that in Fig. 3. The same mechanism of the elastic anomaly discussed in this paper would work in this alloy system, too. The elastic anomaly in magnetic alloys could be treated by a microscopic theory by using the coherent potential approximation applied to the Anderson alloy system [9]. This calculation will be an interesting extension of this paper.

By applying the magnetic field, the atomic energy levels of Ce will split further. This will result in more structures in temperature variations of the elastic constants. Experimental as well as theoretical information will be useful for detailed understanding of the electronic properties of heavy fermion systems.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Professor T. Goto for sending them copies of his papers and the fruitful discussion. They also thank Dr. H. Matsui for the useful discussion. This work was done while one of the authors (K.H.) was staying

at the University of Sheffield on leave from the Electrotechnical Laboratory, Japan. He acknowledges the financial support for the stay obtained from SERC and the hospitality that he obtained from the University.

REFERENCES

∗ Permanent address: Fundamental Physics Section, Physical Science Division, Electrotechnical Laboratory, Umezono 1-1-4, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan; E-mail address: harigaya@etl.go.jp.

[1] T. Goto, T. Suzuki, Y. Ohe, T. Fujimura, S. Sakutsume, Y. Onuki, and T. Komatsubara, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **57**, 2612 (1988).

[2] H. Matsui, T. Goto, A. Tamaki, T. Fujimura, T. Suzuki, and T. Kasuya, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **76/77**, 321 (1988).

[3] S. Nakamura, T. Goto, H. Matsui, S. Sakatsume, and S. Kunii, (preprint).

[4] K. Harigaya and G. A. Gehring, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **57**, 5277 (1993).

[5] J. Rossat-Mignod, J. M. Effantin, P. Burlet, T. Chattopadhyay, L. P. Regnault, H. Bartholin, C. Vettier, O. Vogt, D. Ravot, and J. C. Achart, J. Magn. Magn. Mat. **52**, 111 (1985).

[6] S. M. M. Evans, T. Chung, and G. A. Gehring, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **1**, 10473 (1989).

[7] K. Harigaya, *Master Thesis*, University of Tokyo, (1988).

[8] P. Levy, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. **6**, 3545 (1973).

[9] K. Harigaya. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **2**, 4623 (1990).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

FIG. 1. Temperature dependences of the mean field solution: (a) $\tilde{E}_{\rm f}$, (b) $T_{\rm K}$, and (c) $n_{\rm f}$. Parameters are $D = 5 \times 10^4$K, $V = 7500$K, $E_{\rm f} = -10^4$K, $n_{\rm el} = 1.9$, and $\Delta = 30$K.

FIG. 2. Temperature dependences of the linear susceptibility χ_0. The parameters are the same as in Fig. 1. The figure (b) shows the structures in low temperatures in (a).

FIG. 3. Temperature dependences of the elastic constant c/c_0 for $g = 6.9$K. The parameters are the same as in Fig. 1. In (a), the calculation is compared with the $(c_{11} - c_{12})/2$ constant of CeTe. In (b), the comparison with the c_{44} constant is made. Experimental data are shown by the dots.